कर लिये गये हैं; और

(घ) यदि नहीं, तो इस योजना के तहत विकास हेतु निर्धारित किये गये लक्ष्यों को किन कारणों से प्राप्त नहीं किया जा सका है?

योजना और कार्यक्रम कार्यान्वयन मंत्रालय के राज्य मंत्री (श्री योगेन्द्र के० अलघ): (क) से (घ) अभी तक ऐसा कोई मूल्यांकन नहीं किया गया है। तथापि अब योजना आयोग ने नौवीं योजना के अग्रोच दस्तावेज की भूमिका के रूप में सम्पूर्ण आठवीं योजना की समीक्षा करने का निर्णय लिया है।

Mass Rapid Transit System in Delhi

2406. SHRI S.M. KRISHNA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the present stage of implcmenta-Tion to the mass Rapid Transit System in Delhi;

(b) whether the Japanese Government have offered to give assistance in the form of loan for implementation of the project;

(c) the estimated cost of the Project vis -a-vis loan offered by the Japanese Government and on what terms;

(d) whether Government have consi-dered the proposal; if so, the decision taken in this regard; and

(c) if the answer to part (b) above be in the negative, how Government propose to meet the expenditure and by when the project is to be taken in hand for implementation?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (DR. U. VEN-KATESWARLU): (a) to (d) After the 'in principle' approval of the Delhi MRTS Project by the Government of India toghther wiil an "indicative" financing plan therefor in July, 1994, follow up actions arc being taken towards getting final investment decision of Gov--ernmcnt in consultation with the Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi. The actions which have already been completed arc: preparation of Detailed Projects Report, Environmental Impact Assessment Study Report and Detailed Financial/Economic Analyses of the project; registration of a company under the companies Act in partnership with the Delhi Government to implement the project; posing the project before the OECF (Japan) to provide loan assistance for the project; and getting the approval of the Empowered Committee set up under Cabinet Secretary's Chairmanship to facilitate and monitor all matters connected with the implementation of this project. The OECF (Japan) has also got an independent tcchno-economic appraisal of the project conducted recently and has found the project to be acceptable for OLCI (Japan) assistance.

The Total cost of the Projct at April, 1995 prices is estimated at Rs. 4182 crores. The OECF Appraisal Mission, which, visited India in July, 1996, has recommended 66.26% of the eligible cost of the project for OECF loan. 7% of the project cost is expected to come through property development and another 3% by way of interest-free subordinate debt towards land cost from Government of India (1.5%) and Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi (1.5%). The balance cost will be shared by Government of India and Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi in equal proportions. GNCTD has already agreed to release their share of Rs. 103.6 crores per annum while the matter is under the consideration of the Government of India for taking a decision.

(c) Docs not arise.

Insufficient Supply of Bitumen

2407. SHRKIMATI URMILABEN CHIMANBHAI PATEL:
SHRI KANAKSINH MOHANSINH MANGROLA:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Gujarat Government

las approached the Ministry of Pet-olcum and Natural Gas regarding insuffi-;icnt supply of Bitumen and if so, thesteps taken/proposed to be taken to insure sufficient and un-intcrrupted supply of Bitumen;

(b) whether long queues are witnessed or Asphalt at Koyali Refinery during the working season, resulting in long waiting period for tankers;

(c) if so, what is the average waiting period for tankers;

(d) whether the loading capacity of bitumen at Koyali Refinery is sufficient ? take care of the demand; and

(c) if not, the steps taken or proposed) be taken to ensure sufficient loading capaicty;

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND (ATURAL GAS (SHRI T.R. BAALU): (a) Yes, Sir. The oil companies have need directed to meet the full require-ent of the State.

(b) Long queues are witnessed occa-onally during the peak working season.

(c) Only during the peak season, the deragc waiting period is one to two days casionally.

(d) and (c) The loading capacity of tumen at Koyali Refinery is more than c actual production. Till the expansion Koyali Refinery, the production of humen cannot be increased as per the eduction pattern.

filing on Supply of Furniture to Central Ministers

2408. SHRI ANANTA SETHI: Will PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

a) the estimated value of furniture plied to Central Ministers;

b) whether there is any ceiling fixed supply of furniture to Ministers, in-ling other luxury items, at their resi-cc;

(c) whether Government propose to charge rent for the additional furniture provided at the residences of Ministers; and

(d) if not, the procedure followed in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (DR. U. VEN-KATESWARLU): (a) and (b) The value of furniture and electrical appliances provided free of rent in a residence allotted to Central Ministers is governed by Ministers Residences Rules. Ministers and Ministers of State are provided free furniture and furnishing upto the value of Rs.22,500 and Deputy Minister upto the value of Rs. 22,500.
'

(c) and (d) For the furniture and furnishing over and above the prescribed ceilling. Ministers arc required to pay rent at the same rate as applicable to Government servants together with departmental charges.

Grants By Regional Offices of CAPART

2409. SHRI YERRA NARAYANA-SWAMY: Will the Minister of RURAL AREAS & EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether any Regional Offices of CAPART arc functioning;

(b) if so, the number of grants given by Regional Office of CAPART in Andhra Pradesh in 1995-96; and

(c) the details of the funds released in 1995-96?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL AREAS AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI CHANDRA-DEO PRASAD VARMA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) In 1995-96, the Regional Committee of CAPART had sanctioned 206 projects in Andhra Pradesh and the amounts sanctioned and released for these projects were Rs. 6.07 crores and Rs. 3.12 crores respectively.